Generalitat de Catalunya Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya

Collaborative Methodology in Stakeholder and Change Management. ACT@Scale Project

Núria Rodríguez Valiente Bologna, 7th June 2018

ACT@Scale is funded by the European Union, in the framework of the Health Programme under grant agreement 709770



EIP ON AHA



Outline

- 1. ACT@Scale Project
- 2. WP5.Stakeholder and Change Management
- 3. Objectives
- 4. Collaborative Methodology
- 5. Plan-Do-Study-Act Cycle analysis
- 6. Conclusions

ACT@Scale PROJECT. https://www.act-at-scale.eu/

18th May 2018 Drop by ACT@Scale sessions at ICIC18

EVENT 15th May 2018 ACT@Scale 5th General Assembly

EVENT 11th May 2018

26TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HEALTH PROMOTING HOSPITALS AND HEALTH SERVICES

(\rightarrow)

 Θ

 \ominus

ACT@Scale

Advancing Care Coordination and Telehealth deployment at Scale

💓 Follow us on Twitter



WP5. STAKEHOLDER AND CHANGE MANAGEMENT

WP5 OBJECTIVES

To achieve an appropriate level of support and commitment from the stakeholders to innovative health services, specifically Care Coordination and Telehealth (CC & TH).

To gather baseline information of staff engaged within the ACT@Scale programme, to know stakeholder engagement through knowing the overall staff engagement across programmes.

Support organisations in their alignment process to new models of healthcare provision following structure change management processes

Reach the level of staff engagement required to scale up

Identify best practices

COLLABORATIVE METHODOLOGY: PLAN-DO-STUDY- ACT PHASES



Key changes defined during the baseline phase are implemented in cyclical iterations. The Learning Cycle is the first iteration





| INTERVENTIONS | IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS |
|---|----------------------------|
| IDENTIFY and INVOLVE STAKEHOLDERS | 75-100% |
| TRANSFER KNOWLEDGE AND ICT INFRASTRUCTURE | 75-100% |
| DEVELOP, VALIDATE AND INTEGRATED SUSTAINABLE PATHWAY | 50-75% |
| DEFINE, VALIDATE AND SHARE A DEPLOYMENT PLAN | 50-75% |
| DEVELOP TRAINING PROGRAMMES FOR PROFESSIONALS | 25-50% |
| ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK | 25-50% |

STUDY- stage

Analyse the results, compare data and summarize

In order to assess the impact of the improvement changes implemented on the dimensions invostigated in Stakeholder and Change Management driver, the relationship between the Process Indicators: Stakeholder management results (2016) and PLAN- DO phases (2017) Stakeholder Identification and selection ied in three groups: Implementation plan of involve all the stakeholders Stakeholder involvement depending the implementation phase Staff Engagement Stakeholder commitment assessment prior beginning program Risk Stakeholder commitment Process Indicators: Staff Engagement Plan to maintain and increase stakeholder Level support for the program Periodicity assessment Stakeholders proce Frontline clinical staff involvement in the program Level of communication to the Frontline clinical staff by the to select the stakeholders involved organisation in the programs Evaluation of staff awareness, engagement and satisfaction Level of communication in project successes and good practices by the organisation Staff trained in identifying, evaluating and selecting the case Access to training and education by staff Identification of organisational and cultural barriers

DO-PLAN and KPIs analysis process during 2016-2017. Example

6.3 Multimorbid population integrated intervention - Basque Country

| | DO | | Results | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| PLAN | (implement ation progress) | КРІ | 2016 (PM survey results) | 2017 (Implementation progress described in DO Phase) | |
| Promote the creation of multidisciplinay teams involving all stakeholders | Create a multidisciplinar y team where all sectors and organisations are represented (75-100%) | Stakeholder Identification and selection Implementation plan of involve all the stakeholders Stakeholder commitment assessment prior beginning program Risk Stakeholder commitment | Stakeholder commitment assessment performed prior to the beginning of the programme Risks related to stakeholders have been analysed Mitigating measures adopted An action plan has been adopted to maintain and increase stakeholders commitment The programme performs periodic assessments on stakeholder | A multidisciplinary team representing all sectors and organisations has been created (Primary Care, Hospital Care, Managers, Home-based hospitalization care nurses, A&E, eHealth centre, Osakidetza Healthcare Directorate A core team representing all organisations and care levels have been meeting and discussing the care pathway | |
| Develop, validate and deploy an unified and sustainable patient cantered care pathway | Develop and validate an integrated sustainable Pathway (50-75%) | Step in the following integrated areas (depending phase developed) Organisational models Development stratification population's tools Integrated care pathways | - Developing the Implementation Phase | An integrated pathway for patients has been developed and validated in the particular context of BC An analysis of the target population has been carried out ensuring the patients' needs and professionals' | |

IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS



ACT- stage

To refine changes and to determine future plans

Adopt

The desired change is achieved.

Once improvement is affirmed, determine when the successful change can be reproduced on a larger scale



Revise the change process.

Return to Plan, Do, Study, Act.

Repeat the test using a different method or by gathering different pre and post data



Abandon

The change exacerbated the old problem or created a new harmful problem.

Return to the Plan phase.

| IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS | OPTION (Adopt, adapt or abandon | Comments Asth | |
|---|--|---|----|
| Deve deplCreate discussion sust groups to delivery pati about diagnosis and the care in AC service orga when | Adopt | -Local stakeholders were actively promoting the program -The commitment of stakeholders is strong -Regional cooperation | _ |
| Cre mul To perform a literature ^{tea} study and measurement ^{sec} scales org col | Abandon | A new plan wil be developed and complete the Do, Study, Act phases again. | |
| in Carry out proposed int changes in current mul processes and evaluate ^{com} outcomes | Adopt | -High quality of pulmonary tests -Successful implementation in patient's recruitment and health outcomes -Good IT infrastructure -Scaling up the program | |
| Deve trai heal focu clir mana Develop an educational with an training modules for ^{come} caregivers | Adopt | -The pulmonologist involved perfomed diagnostics and tests for patients During the 2nd PDSA cycle more indicators will define and validate the training modules | 13 |

CONCLUSIONS I

- □ In general, programmes have involved all the selected and identified **stakeholders** in their own programmes, and a plan to maintain and increase the stakeholder commitment will be pending to be established.
- Concerning Change Management, all programmes have improved in process indicators like Organizational models, Workforce development, Technology's Support and Integrated care Pathway and some of them have included patient engagement in the change management implementation processes.
- Programmes are implementing the cultural transformation in their organizations. That change is being secured alongside the structural and procedural changes to deliver quality and performance

CONCLUSIONS II

- Programmes are emphasizing the teamwork and coordination, indeed, these practices are associated with a greater implementation, continuous quality of improvement and a functional health status.
- Managing and changing the organizational culture is a lever for health care improvement, considering that the culture change in health care is argued as a complex and contested terrain. So, it is important to distinguish between the different types of subcultures and highlighted the leadership and the workforce development.



Generalitat de Catalunya Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya

GRAZIE!

http://aquas.gencat.cat

EXTRA SLIDES



- 8.Monitoring (PC/secondary level)
- 9.Availability public data

Step in the following integrated areas (depending phase developed)

- 1. Organisational models
- 2. Workforce development
- 3.Development stratification population's tools
- 4. Integrated care pathways
- 5.Patient involvement
- 6.Technology's support

Barriers faced (depending phase developed)

1.Lack of time

- 2.Preassure for short term results
- 3.Stakeholder resistance

4.Unstructured approach

- 5.Lack of recognition need for change
- 6.Lack of leadership
- 7.Lack of vision
- 8.Inadequate skills
- 9.Inflexible information technology
- 10.Lack of funding



 A multidisciplinary team where all sectors and organizations were represented has been created

Adaptation Phase

Planning Phase

Implementation Phase

- An integrated sustainable pathway has been developed and validated
- A training programs to improve healthcare professional's knowledge in integrated care pathway and stratification methods has been analyzed
- An assessment framework and collaboration agreement regarding the indicators to assess costeffectiveness and sustainability has been developed

Improvement Phase